



## Is it Good Enough?

A guide for evaluating the quality and patient-centeredness of existing patient data

Consideration	Yes	No	Unclear
<b>1. Transparency:</b> Were methods described transparently enough to assess the quality of the patient engagement that took place?			
▪ Is there transparency about limitations?			
▪ Is there a description of how patient input informed decisions?			
<b>2. Patient Centricity:</b> Was a patient-centered process used?			
▪ Did the process begin with patients at the earliest planning stages?			
▪ Were patients leading or involved in determining what data was captured and analyzed, and how?			
▪ Did patients have an equal/balanced role in all phases of the work? (e.g., governance role, decision-making, data interpretation and application, designing materials for patients, etc.)			
▪ Was data collected directly from patients?			
▪ Were patient participants informed about how data would be used, shared, and whether results or learnings would be shared back with them as participants?			
<b>3. Representativeness:</b> Is the population represented in the data the same as the population that is the focus of the PC-CIS?			
<b>4. Timeliness:</b> Is the data recent enough to reflect what patients are experiencing currently?			
<b>5. Methods:</b> Were the methods used to collect the patient-impact data based on sound principles (e.g., good practices for qualitative or mixed methods research as outlined in the Blueprint)?			
▪ Were limitations of the methods or limitations of the data clearly described?			
▪ Did the study define clear research questions rooted in principles codified in the PC-CIS Blueprint?			

Citation: National Health Council. Patient-Centered Core Impact Sets Blueprint, Is it Good Enough? Guide. 2022.